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Games

## （69）Koshnitsky－Purdy

Sydney 1932
Queen＇s Pawn Game［D05］
$1 . \mathrm{d} 4$ ©f6 $2 . 乞 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~d} 53 . \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{c5} 4 . \mathrm{c} 3$
 c4 8．c2 d d $9 . e \times d 50-010 . d \times e 5$ $\triangleq \times e 511 . \mathrm{h} 3 \mathrm{~b} 512.2 \times \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{O} 5$ 13． 5 f 3 b7 14．0－0 管ad8 15．留e2
 18．f $\times$ e3 33


In an open board，White can count only upon the g f1 as an additional asset． Black permits White to use the open board，notably the open f－file with $\begin{gathered}\text { ug }\end{gathered} 4$ and later ${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{ff}+$ ．19．$\times \mathbf{h} 7+$ ？ 20． $2 \mathbf{g} 5+$ thge Black succeeds in the tig8 line because d5 overprotects the f7－square and secures the king＇s escape


 Black would have to give up his queen to stall the mate in the corner．After

 On 21．씁c2＋？Black wins easily with ei－ ther $21 \ldots$ 曷 $\times \mathrm{g} 5-+$ or $21 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 3-+$ ．
 moved but resigned probably preferring not to have to face a mate in three moves： $22 \ldots$ 留g3 23．씁f5 + 甼h5

 25．씁h7\＃）24．．． only chance to survive，if barely，was $22 \ldots$ ．．$\times \mathrm{g} 2+$ ！ 23 ． $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{g} 2$ 留 $\mathrm{g} 3+24$ ．씁 $\times \mathrm{g} 3$
 White will soon win another pawn but the black rooks are active．
（70）Wilke－Priwonitz
Hamburg 1933
French Defense［C00］
1．c4 e6 2．e4d53．c×d5 exd54．exd5




Black initiates the sacrifice relying upon the light－square bishop and possible activity from ${ }^{\text {号 } f 8-e 8 . ~ B l a c k ~ s u c c e e d s ~ i n ~}$ the eys line quickly，although with the ©e2，Black needs help from a rook swing．White misses the win available with g3．Note that，in that line，Black cannot safely maintain the queen on $g 5$
 11．Hy 1 White misses the win available after thg：11．．thg！h5 12．量h1 h4＋ （12．．．$\mu \mathrm{G}$ g 5 but the queen cannot remain on the g－file $13 . f 4$ 씁g6 $14 . f 5$ 씁g5

 not 11 ．．．${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{g}$ g ？when Black cannot safely maintain the queen on g 5 and g6．12．f4


12．笪e1 ${ }^{\mu} \times \mathbf{f} \mathbf{f} 2+$ ！The king＇s ability to escape to d3 makes $12 \ldots$ ．．． less accurate，13．．ef1 wher 14.0 g 1
 13．Hh1 管e8！With the idea of 光e5－ h5．14．d4 そ̌e3！15．d $\mathbf{d} 2$ Capturing on e3 with 15 ．$\times \mathrm{e} 3$ simply brings the knight to e3 where it hits the queen and threatens mate on g2．15．．．气xe3 16．$\searrow \mathrm{ff} 4$ （16．学g1 留h4\＃）16．．． $0 \times \mathrm{d} 1-+$ ．Defend－ ing with 15.0 O 1 畑h $4+16.0 \mathrm{O} 3$ simply encourages an easy rook sacrifice with $16 \ldots$ 党 $\times \mathrm{h} 3+17 . \mathrm{g} \times \mathrm{h} 3$ 留 $\times \mathrm{h} 3+-+$ ．

（71）Razinger－Harum
Ebensee 1933
French Defense［C11］
1．e4 e6 $2 . \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 53.2 \mathrm{C} 3$ 亿f64．e5

 10．씁g40－011．d3a6


White can rely upon two additional as－ sets，the 0 C 3 and the e5－pawn，but the real story here is that with the queen on g4，White ought to have followed the sacrifice with 13 ． H ， h 5 eliminating even the possibility of the the and g 6
 13．留h $5+$ ！eliminates responses other than ．．．${ }^{6}$ g8．13．．．${ }^{6}$ g8 Normally，in the曷h6 line，when White does not have a
dark－square bishop，White aims to play留g4－h4．Here，White is a full tempo ahead in that line：13．．．${ }^{\text {B }} \mathrm{b}$ 6 14．${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{H} 4+$ （or 14．f4 包xe5 15．火h3＋\％g6 16．算h7＋ f6 17．fxe5＋transposing to the follow－
 eff 17．fxe5＋when Black has（a） 17．．．．${ }^{\text {b }}$ e7 18． $4 \times \mathrm{g} 7$＋－with the idea of
 19． $0 \times f 7++-$ with a significant material advantage；and（c） $17 \ldots .{ }^{6} \times \mathrm{g} 5$ once again，taking the knight is fraught with danger as White can bring a rook into the fight．18．${ }^{\mu} \times \mathrm{x} 7+$ when all continua－ tions win quickly for White：（1）18．．． m 4




 f5 20．g4＋f $\times \mathrm{g} 4$ 21．M H h7 \＃．With the queen already on $\mathrm{g} 4,13 \ldots$ ．．． g 6 ？invites
 $0 \times f 2+$ and $\mu \mathrm{g} \times \mathrm{g} 5$ delay the end．



（72）Alekhine－Schuman
Washington DC simul．exhibition 1933 French Defense［C10］

 De7 8．D d $0-09 . \mathrm{h} 4 \mathrm{f} 6$


For the second time in this chapter（see game 54），Alekhine sacrifices with a black pawn on f6．In an exhibition of this kind，without the time for intense calculation，the sacrifice was almost certainly based on intuition and confi－ dence in his ability in such complex， tactical positions．To be sure，he outplays his opponent，but despite the presence of three additional assets，the e5－pawn，the ©c3，and the h4－pawn， the analysis shows that every main variation contained a path for Black to gain the advantage．Black himself has a counter－attack on the e5－pawn and the open f－file on which to rely．Note，in particular，that Black could simply have taken the 9 g 5 and then used the open

 White has three options in the 11．．．${ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{g} 6$ line：（a）White can capture the f－pawn and then maneuver the queen to g3 where it will threaten the discovery and have access to c 7 ，but after 12．exf6 g×f6 13．씁d3＋f5 14．씁g3（14．h5＋ㅐ․․ $h 6-+$ ） $14 . . . \times \mathrm{g} 515 . \mathrm{h} \times \mathrm{g} 5 \mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{g} 5$ 16．씁 $\times \mathrm{C} 7$ 岂 f 7 17． $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{h} \mathrm{h} 2 \mathrm{f} 4 \mp$ Black survives the attack quite readily；（b）After 12．씁d3＋f5 Black is threatening to capture on g 5 ， and 13 ． l h h 3 is insufficient because af－ ter $13 \ldots \times g 5$ 14．h $\times$ g 5 Black can safely play 党h8 $\mp$ ；and（c）And there＇s noth－ ing in $12 . \mathrm{h} 5+$ because，without a dark－ square bishop，there＇s no way for White to exploit $12 \ldots$ ． e ， $12 \ldots$ ．．．$\times \mathrm{g} 5$ 13．씁d2＋＋－） $13 . \mathrm{exf6} \mathrm{gxf} 6-+$ ．Black also has the option of capturing on g 5 ， although White gains in compensation an open $h$－file for the rook and queen．





$13 . \mathrm{g} 6$ with the threat of a h8（playing 13．畑h5 first doesn＇t help：13．．．党f5

 $\because \times g 5-+$ ）and once again，Black can use the open f－file to defend with 13．．．党f5 14.94 g f $4-+$ ．12．exf6 The obvious 12．M M h5？fails to $\mathrm{f} \times \mathrm{g} 513 . \mathrm{h} \times \mathrm{g} 5$ 常 $\mathrm{f} 5-+$ when the g－pawn will fall and White＇s

 White will not be able to break through or recover his material after $15 \ldots \mathrm{f} 6!-+$ ．
 better after the more aggressive is

 Avoiding 21．．．씁 $\times$ c 7 22．씁e8\＃．22．f5 There＇s nothing more than a perpetual check for White after 22．씁h7＋ $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{f} 8$
 22．．．${ }^{\text {anc8}}$ ？A careless mistake．Black is better and probably winning after


是 $\times \mathbf{e} 624.2 \times 26$ Slightly more efficient
 26．


 34．©c5 癸d6 35．号b8 b4 36．c×b4
 39．${ }^{2} \times \mathrm{d} 6 气 \mathrm{c} 4+40$ ． H d3 $2 \times \mathrm{d} 641.24$



## （73）Norcia－Fine

Folkestone 1933
French Defense［C14］
Reuben Fine（1914－1993）was one of the strongest grandmasters during the 1930s and 1940s．He won the U．S．Open

Championship all seven times he played，won five medals representing the United States in the Olympiads，and wrote several books on chess，notably the classic Basic Chess Endings．In 1938，Fine tied for first place with Paul Keres in one of the strongest tourna－ ments ever held，the AVRO tournament in the Netherlands．Invited to compete for the world chess championship after the death of Alekhine，Fine declined his invi－ tation to pursue a career in psychology．

1．e4e62．d4d53．乌c3 $9 f 64.0 \mathrm{D} 5$
 7．留d2 0－0 8．f4 c5 9．d×c5 0 c 6


 sily e 7


White can rely upon two additional as－ sets，the e5－pawn and the g f1，but Fine defends precisely，selecting the cig8 line because the white queen can at－ tack h7 only from d3 or h3 where it ex－ erts no influence over f7．As a result， Black can essentially make two moves， a rook move to vacate $f 8$ for his king and 0 d 8 to defend f 7 ．And Black can gain time with ${ }_{\text {M }} \mathrm{C} 5+$ in order to vacate the e7 escape square．18．$\times \mathbf{h 7 +}$

already on the third rank，the whe line walks into a mate in two： $19 \ldots$ ．．． h 6
 20．씁g3 when Black gives up only an exchange after 20．．．f6 21． $0 \times 6+$ 显h7 （21．．．둡f7？22．씁 $\times \mathrm{g} 7+$ 为xe6 23．f5＋
 20．씁d3＋（eliminating even the possi－ bility of ．．．f6）20．．．f5 21．．쓰g3 but even here，Black＇s counter－attack on the e5－ pawn is effective．21．．． $0 \times 5$ 22． $0 \times e 6+$ ©g4 23． $0 \times f 8+$（White wins back an ex－ change，but nothing more） $23 \ldots$ ．．${ }^{\text {an }} \times$ f8 24．h3（hoping to win the knight，but．．．）
 Arriving on the h －file on h 3 where the queen does not exert pressure upon f 7 ． 20．．．${ }^{\mu}{ }^{2} \mathbf{c} 5+$ A key check that vacates the e7 escape－square．21．${ }^{6} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{f c 8}$ 22．留h5 White cannot break through
 24． $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{G}} \times \mathrm{g} 7$ 管f8 25 ． White＇s loss of time permits Black to


 ning after 27．．．$\times$ f1 $28 . \ddot{\square} \times \mathrm{C} 5$ 畄 $\times \mathrm{C} 5-+$ or simply $27 \ldots$ ．．．． $\mathrm{e} 3-+$ ． $28 . f 5$ Me7




 벙c7 42．g6 May 43．g×f7 管h4＋
 46．${ }^{\text {b／}} 1$ 管 $44+0-1$

## （74）Spielmann－Rubinstein，S

Vienna 1933
Queen＇s Gambit Declined［D55］
1．d4气f62．c4e63．©c3d54．0f3 e7
 $0 \times f 69 . c \times d 5 \mathrm{e} \times \mathrm{d} 510 . \mathrm{h} 4 \mathrm{c} 5$

Games


White initiates the sacrifice here rely－ ing upon the 0 c3 and the h－pawn as additional assets．The sacrifice is com－ plex because Black has whe，which saves a key tempo over the 㫷g6 留d3＋ line．Without a dark－square bishop，and with the pawn on h4，White cannot play留g4－h4 and must therefore settle for留d3 with the idea of ${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{h} 7$ ．The defense is extremely difficult，and Rubenstein nearly rises to the task．11． $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{h} 7$ ！？
 loses because the black b7 is
 （13．．．$\times \mathrm{g} 5$ 14．h $\times \mathrm{g} 5$ f5 15．g6）14．．．$\times \mathrm{Gf} 7+$ ， the f 6 prevents Black from defending with f5．12．．．붑g6 13．씁d3＋昌h5


 also leads to quick mating nets． $12 \ldots \times \mathrm{g} 513 . \mathrm{h} \times \mathrm{g} 5+$ 为 g 8 （ $13 \ldots \mathrm{H}$ g



 $15 . \mathrm{g} 6+-$ ．13．씅d3 g6 14．h5 置 $\times \mathrm{g} 5$ The key position．Capturing with king， despite the computer＇s optimism，is al－ most certainly unsound but is an excel－ lent practical move．14．．．e $\times \mathrm{g} 5$ ！？15．h×g6

名 7 16． B h7 when to prevail，White will need to 0－0－0 and then play either ${ }^{\text {end }}$ dh1－ h4 or e4 blowing up the center．Best appears to be $14 \ldots$ ．．． b g 7 when 15 ．仓e 6
 18． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g} 7 \mathrm{~g} 7$ 19．h6 留f6 and $15 . \mathrm{h} \times \mathrm{g} 6$
 $18.0 \times f 6$ 씁 $\times f 6-+$ do not provide White with an advantage． $\mathbf{1 5 . h} \times \mathbf{g} 6+$ otg 7

 17．0－0－0 Even after an awkward move
 White has chances by pushing the kingside pawns．17．．．c4 With the aim of sealing the queenside as a new home for the king．18．Merge 2 White must counter with 44 in the center．18．．．${ }^{6}$ e7
 $22 . d 5$ Øa6 Playing 22．．．b5 opens c5 for the knight．23．g7 gig8 24． gh 6 $0 \times \mathrm{g} 725$ ． $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{d} 6+$＋
 With superior development and central control，and with the 嶨a8 out of play， White is winning．29．．．气e6 $30 . f 5$

 밥b7 36．씅d5＋밥b8 37．쌍c6 a5
 40．씅 c7＋법a71－0
（75）Ninic－Mihajlovic
Belgrade 1933
Slav Defense［D30］
1．d4d5 2．c4 c6 3．e3 e6 4．©f3 ©f6
 8． 14 d $乞 \mathrm{bd} 79.0-0 \mathrm{e} 510 . \mathrm{d} \times \mathrm{e} 5 \triangleq \times \mathrm{e} 5$ 11．$\because \times \mathrm{e} 5 \times \mathrm{e} 5$ 12． $\mathrm{d} 2(\mathrm{D})$

Black relies here upon the light－square bishop and the possibility of B e8 as additional assets．The defender cor－ rectly plays ${ }^{\text {ghe }} \mathrm{g} 3$ which should have
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defended successfully in large part be－ cause after ${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{g} 5 \mathrm{f} 4$ ，the queen cannot safely sustain the attack from g6．
 The eqg line fails to the usual mate in

嫘h1＋19．管e2 摞×g2\＃14．．．h5 $14 . .$. 当g5 $15 . f 4+-$ because the queen cannot retreat to g6．15．${ }^{\text {ghh }} \mathbf{h 1 ! + - \mathbf { d 4 } 4}$ 16．e $\times$ d4 ${ }^{\mu} \times \mathrm{d} 4$ 17． C e3 Me5＋ 18． $\mathbf{d} 4$ 쓸 $\times \mathbf{c} 5$ 19．M M c2？Black＇s attack－ ing chances end after either 19．$\triangle \mathrm{e} 4+-$ or 19．씁d2＋－．19．．．g5
 Much better is $22.0 \mathrm{De} 4 \pm$ ．22．．．${ }^{\text {m }} \mathbf{f 6 +}$

勾xe3＋－＋．23．Wg Mey 25． $\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{h} 5$ Best is 25 ． f 3 when，to maintain an edge，Black would have to
 26．fxg3 $0 \mathrm{e} 3+-+$ ．26．．．$\triangle f 6$ Better is 26．．．留f4 with the threat of ．．．0e3＋．









（76）Skalicka－Koska
Prague 1935
Bird＇s Opening［A03］
1．f4d5 2．e3g63．2f3 g g 74.2 Cc 3 c 5
 $8 . \mathrm{d} 3 \mathrm{~d} 49.0$ e4 dxe3 10．c3 Migh
 14． $2 \times 50 \times 515$ ．


The sacrifice relies here upon one addi－ tional asset，the light－square bishop， although the black knight arrives on g 4 ready to capture the unanchored e3． This is the first recorded game in which the defending side sacrifices the queen to capture the 0 g 4 （or 0 g 5 ）．The idea works because，for the queen，White obtains three minor pieces and a threat that permits him to consolidate his po－ sition fully． $15 \ldots$ ．．．$\times$ h2＋ 16 ．${ }^{\text {b }} \times$ h2 Dg4＋17．$\frac{\mu}{g} \times \mathbf{g} 4$ King moves lose quickly to the $0 \times 3$ fork． $17 \ldots \times \mathbf{x}$
 queen sacrifice，White emerges with three minor pieces and excellent activ－ ity for the queen．19．．．gfd8 A bit am－
 21．f4（better here to hold on to the active bishop）21．．．h5 22．We $5+$ 曾h7 23．04f6＋th66 24．d5 党ad8 25．d6＝．
 demonstrates that rooks are poor block－ aders 22．常ae1 f6 23．0．0×c4 甾c7＋

24． $0 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{f} \times \mathrm{e} 525 . \mathrm{b} 3$ with a winning

 $23 \ldots$ 皆 $\times \mathrm{c} 4$ 24．D3．24．b3 th H 8





 41．${ }^{\text {ng fg }} 3$ 1－0
（77）Lasker，Em．－Troxler
Luzern simul．exhibition 1935
French Defense［C11］
1．e4 e6 $2 . \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 53 . \varrho \mathrm{c} 3$ 气f6 4．e5
勺fd75．0f3 e76．e3 0－07．d3 b68．h4f6


This time，it＇s Lasker＇s turn to try the sacrifice with a black pawn on f6，and here，the sac is fully sound thanks in no small part to the fact that White can rely on four additional assets，the 0 c 3 ， the secure e5－pawn，the dark－square bishop，and the h－pawn．Black＇s ad－ vance of the f－pawn leaves e6 weak， and so，Black has no choice but to cap－ ture the knight．The lines are beautiful， marred only by Lasker＇s unfortunate miss of a mate in six．9．$\times \mathbf{h} 7+$ ！ $8 \times \mathbf{h} 7$ 10． $\mathbf{V} \mathbf{g} 5+\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{g} 5$ The retreat to $10 \ldots$ g8 invites 11． $0 \times \mathrm{e} 6$ 桀e8 $12.0 \times \mathrm{C} 7+-$ ；the
gig6 line invites a mate in two：10．．． g g6
 12．g4\＃．11．h×g5＋thg8 getg again walks into a mate in two： $11 \ldots$ ．．．eg6


 15．留 $\times \mathrm{g} 6$ \＃）13．씁h5＋웁g8 14．g6＋－ 12．．． $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{f} 5$ 13．f4 4 D 8 14．g4 g6
 17．0－0－0 06 when an easy win is no－

 He6 19．留g8＋Chd7 20．씁 $\times$ d5＋1－0

## （78）Koltanowski－Reilly

Barcelona 1935
Queen＇s Pawn Game［A47］


 11．b4 営ac8 12．b2d6 13．资c1 Mryb 14．自e2 管fe8 15．乌b3 f8 16．e5 Qd5


White can rely here upon three addi－ tional assets，the e5－pawn，the dark－ square bishop，and the $\vec{g} \mathrm{c} 1$ ，although the b2 is off the key diagonal and the gr1 does not have a quick entry into the position．Black correctly selects the eg6 line，where the correct attack be－ ginning with 留e 4 is complex and in－

